

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/775,886	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MATSUNAMI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kaushikkumar Patel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2188	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kaushikkumar Patel.

(2) Shrinath Malur.

**Status of Application:** Non-final Action after RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 July 2006

**Time:** 3.30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant's representative regarding enablement requirement of claim 28, particularly claim 28, recites "wherein a plurality of policies including conditions of file migration are prepared by a plurality of software programs" and examiner was not sure where in the specification claimed limitation was supported.